

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/558,453	04/25/2000	Zhejie Liu	15939-18	9214	
7	2590 02/01/2002				
George L Fountain Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly LLP 2029 Century Park East Suite 3800 Los Angeles, CA 90067			EXAMINER		
			LAM, T	LAM, THANH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 02/01/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/558,453

Liu et al.

Advisory Action

Examiner
Thanh Lam

Art Unit 2834



THE REF	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
rejection allowand	PLY FILED <u>Jan 18, 2002</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. re, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final n under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for ce; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a) 💢	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
exter appro set in mailii	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate assign fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The opriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ng date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🗆 🔏	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🗆 -	The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) 🗆	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
N	IOTE: <u>Amended claims 2-4, and 6 raise new issue that require further consideration and search.</u>
4. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
5. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. □ 6. □	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a
5.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the
5. □ 6. □ 7. □	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised
5. □ 6. □ 7. □ 8. ☒	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:
5. □ 6. □ 7. □ 8. ☒	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2-7